

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/025,765	12/26/2001	Hong Man Moon	8733.514.00	5858
30827 75	90 01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			NGUYEN, HOAN C	
1900 K STREE WASHINGTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.,	.,		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Au
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	411
Advisory Action	10/025,765	MOON, HONG MAN	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2004 FAILS TO P Therefore, further action by the applicant is required the final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this a r: (1) a timely filed amendmen opeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper reply to a twhich places the application in	
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perfee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date spire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS. The date on which the petition underiod of extension and the correspond te of the shortened statutory period for Office later than three months after	e mailing date of the final rejection. SOF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MP or 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate expression of the fee. The appropriate expression reply originally set in the final Office according.	EP xtension extension ction; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered 	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism		,
		orah (soo NOTE bolow):	
(a) they raise new issues that would require for they raise the issue of new matter (see No.		arch (see NOTE below),	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No(c) they are not deemed to place the application		materially reducing or simplifyin	g the
issues for appeal; and/or			J
(d) they present additional claims without car NOTE:	nceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):	·	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amend	ment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reques application in condition for allowance because		considered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOI	ELY to issues which were newly	,
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendate explanation of how the new or amended claim			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,6,9,10,12,14-25,28 and 2</u>	<u>29</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) ☐ disapprove	ed by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s).	

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on Dec, 29, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's ONLY arguments are follows:

- A. Applicant notes the Examiner has incorrectly equated the moisture-proof films 7 and 8 of Jingu to the passivation layers recited in the present application. As illustrated in FIG. 1 of Jingu, the moisture-proof films 7 and 8 surround polarizing plates 5 and 6 in order to prevent damage to the structure under high temperature and humidity environments.
- B. Aoki discloses a substrate for a liquid crystal display element formed by "coating at least one surface of a transparent resin substrate with a transparent film 1 consisting of an inorganic oxide and consisting of this transparent film of the inorganic oxide of a hydrolyzed polycondensate of a metal alkoxide" (Constitution). Aoki does not teach tspassivation layers on outer surfaces of the first and second substrates" as recited in independent claim 1 of the present application.

Examiner's responses to Applicants' ONLY arguments are follows:

- A. The moisture-proof films 7 and 8 of Jingu consider as the passivation films for preventing physical damage to the substrates under high temperature and humidity environments.
- B. A transparent film 1 of Aoki considers as the passivation film for excellent moisture resistance and impact resistance.

In the instant application, the passivation film is formed on the glass substrate for preventing physical damage to substrate generated by scratch (paragraph 30). The passivation film structures of Jingu and Aoki inherently have different intended uses or purposes for preventing physical damage